```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
                                   JS - 6
 6
 7
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 8
 9
                 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11
   JONATHAN R. AUBE and
                                 Case No.
   SANDRA K. AUBE,
                                 CV 12-08841-JGB (SSx)
12
                PlaintiffS,
                                 JUDGMENT AND ORDER
13
                                 DISMISSING COMPLAINT WITHOUT
                                 PREJUDICE
        v.
14
   LINEAR FINANCIAL, INC.,
15
   et al.,
16
                Defendants.)
17
18
       Plaintiffs filed their Complaint on October 15, 2012.
19
   (Doc. No. 1.) Plaintiffs then filed a First Amended
   Complaint ("FAC") on November 2, 2012. (Doc. No. 12.)
20
   Defendants US Bank NA and Wells Fargo Bank NA moved to
21
22
   dismiss the FAC on November 21, 2012. (Doc. No.
23
   17.) On January 10, 2013, the Court granted the motion to
24
   dismiss because Plaintiff failed to oppose, and dismissed
25
   US Bank and Wells Fargo from the Complaint. (Doc. No.
26
   23.) Thus, only Defendant Linear Financial LP remained in
27
   the case. No proper proof of service is on file as to
28
```

Linear Financial and Linear Financial has not appeared in the case.

On February 13, 2015, the Court issued an order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution, as Plaintiffs had failed to file proper proofs of service as to the Linear Financial within 120 days of the filing of the Complaint. (Doc. No. 26.) Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). The Court put Plaintiff on notice that failure to respond to the order would be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action. (Doc. No. 26.)

On February 23, 2015, the order to show cause was returned to the clerk's office undelivered. Evidently, Plaintiff has failed to maintain a current address on file with the Court. A failure to maintain a current address, including a resulting failure to prosecute, may result in the imposition of sanctions including, where appropriate dismissal. Cantarella v. Gay, 73 F.3d 368, (9th Cir. 1995). Given the lengthy period of Plaintiffs' inaction, the Court finds dismissal is appropriate here.

Accordingly, the Court DISMISSES Defendant Linear Financial from Plaintiffs' Complaint WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Because there are no remaining Defendants in this action, the Clerk shall close the file. The Court orders that such judgment be entered.

Dated: February 27, 2015

JESUS G. BERNAL United States District Judge